

Project Application Scoring System

Updated 3.30.21

Criteria	Points Available	Focus	Project/Sponsor	Description	Scoring	Example	Score Obtained From FY22
Community Participation	5	Collaboration	Sponsor	Does the project sponsor have active community participation, such as volunteers, in demand program participation, well attended events, local	0 = No Evidence of Participation	The sponsor of the sidewalk project has an active base of 500 volunteers, sold out programs, well attended events, and hundreds of local donors. Scoring 5 points	Sponsor LOI
					3 = Some Evidence of Participation		
					5 = High Participation		
Financial Sustainability	10	Collaboration	Sponsor	How financially reliant is the sponsor organization on Resort Tax as a revenue source?	0 = 67-100% of overall revenue from RT	The sponsor of the sidewalk project has a total revenue stream of \$1,000 annually, \$600 of which comes from Resort Tax. Scoring 5 points	Sponsor LOI
					5 = 34-66% of overall revenue from RT		
					10 = 0-33% of overall revenue from RT		
Track Record	5	Efficiency	Sponsor	Sponsor organization consistently achieves the measurable goals outlined in their project applications.	0 = Rarely achieves goals	The sponsor of the sidewalk project achieved all 3 measurable goals outlined in last years application, including completing the project as projected. Scoring 5 points	Sponsor LOI
					3 = Sometimes achieves goals		
					5 = Regularly achieves goals		
Contract Compliance	5	Efficiency	Sponsor	Sponsor organization consistently adheres to terms outlined in the contract (accurate and timely payment requests, timely responses to requests from the District,	0 = Needs significant improvement	The sponsor of the sidewalk project has demonstrated through past projects that significant improvement is needed for compliance with contracts. Scoring 0 points	Internal
					3 = Minimal areas for improvement		
					5 = No improvement needed		
Matching Funds	10	Collaboration	Project	Does your project match funds from other sources beyond Resort Tax?	0 = Less than 1:1 or no matching funds	The sidewalk project is requesting \$100 from Resort Tax, but has received \$200 from the County. Therefore it has a 1:2 match ratio. Scoring 7 points	Project Application
					4 = 1:1		
					7 = 1:2		
					10 = 1:3 or greater		
Quality of Proposal	10	Efficiency	Project	Project timeline, budget, research, and summary are well articulated and necessary.	0 = Minimal project detail provided	The sidewalk project proposal articulated a well thought out project timeline, but was missing a budget. Scoring 5 points	Project Application
					5 = Moderate project detail provided		
					10 = Very detailed proposal		
Partnerships	5	Collaboration	Project	Does your project demonstrate cross organizational collaboration?	0 = 0 Partners	The sidewalk project will happen as a result of Organization X (Sponsoring Organization) and Organization Y collaborating to complete construction of sidewalks. Scoring 5 points	Project Application
					5 = 1+ Partners		
Core Operations Ratio	5	Efficiency	Project	How financially reliant is the project on Resort Tax to cover core operations (payroll, benefits, rent, and mortgage)?	0 = 67-100% of daily operations funded from RT	The sidewalk project anticipates Resort Tax fully funding all wages, rent, and utilities affiliated with this project. Scoring 0 points	Project Application
					5 = 34-66% of daily operations funded from RT		
					10 = 0-33% of daily operations funded from RT		
Demonstrated Need	10	Efficiency	Project	Projects supports communities within Big Sky that have demonstrated need.	0 = No	The sidewalk project included multilingual signs. Scoring 5 points	Project Application
					5 = Yes		
Forecasting Accuracy	5	Planning	Project	Has the project been forecasted and communicated to Resort Tax in advance of application?	0 = No	The sidewalk project sponsor contacted the District last year to communicate an intended request of \$100 this year. Scoring 5 points	Project Application
					5 = Yes		

Criteria	Points Available	Focus	Project/Sponsor	Description	Scoring	Example	Score Obtained From FY22
Articulates Long-Term Vision	10	Planning	Project	Project is consistent with an articulated critical path to achieve long-term goals and the project deliverable(s) will benefit the community beyond a 1-year life span.	0 = 1 year project with no vision to achieve long-term goals	The sidewalk will have a life span of 20 years and is phase 3 of 5 Organization X's capital improvement plan. Scoring 10 points	Project Application
					5 = Project deliverable lasts multiples years, but does not achieve long-term goals		
					10 = Project deliverable lasts multiples years and articulates critical path for long-term goals		
Finacial Sustainability of Project	10	Planning	Project	Project has sustainable long-term source of funds beyond resort tax.	0 = No	The sidewalk project intends to utilize Rural Improvement District dollars to support the long term maintenance of the sidewalk. Scoring 10 points	Project Application
					10 = Yes		
Consistent with Community Strategies	10	Planning	Project	How well does the project align with the strategies outlined in the "Our Big Sky" community plan?	0 =Not consistent with strategies	The sidewalk project expands pathways & trails, improves pedestrian and cyclist safety, protects & conserves waterways with curbs & storm drains, increases transportation options to serve recreation, sidewalk connects to trailheads & therefore preserves and enhances public access to public lands & waterways. Scoring 10 points	Project Application
					5 = Moderately consistent with strategies		
					10 = Very consistent with strategies		
TOTAL:	100					Scoring 77 points total	

Scoring Focus

Collaboration	30
Efficiency	35
Planning	35