

Project Application Scoring System

Updated 3.30.21

| Criteria | Points Available | Focus | Project/ Sponsor | Description | Scoring | Example | Score Obtained From FY22 |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| Community Participation | 5 | Collaboration | Sponsor | Does the project sponsor have active community participation, such as volunteers, in demand program participation, well attended events, local | 0 = No Evidence of Participation 3 = Some Evidence of Participation 5 = High Participation | The sponsor of the sidewalk project has an active base of 500 volunteers, sold out programs, well attended events, and hundreds of local donors. Scoring 5 points | Sponsor LOI |
| Financial Sustainability | 10 | Collaboration | Sponsor | How financially reliant is the sponsor organization on Resort Tax as a revenue source? | 0 = 67-100% of overall revenue from RT 5 = 34-66% of overall revenue from RT 10 = 0-33% of overall revenue from RT | The sponsor of the sidewalk project has a total revenue stream of \$1,000 annually, \$600 of which comes from Resort Tax. Scoring 5 points | Sponsor LOI |
| Track Record | 5 | Efficiency | Sponsor | Sponsor organization consistently achieves the measurable goals outlined in their project applications. | 0 = Rarely achieves goals 3 = Sometimes achieves goals 5 = Regularly achieves goals | The sponsor of the sidewalk project achieved all 3 measurable goals outlined in last years application, including completing the project as projected. Scoring 5 points | Sponsor LOI |
| Contract Compliance | 5 | Efficiency | Sponsor | Sponsor organization consistently adheres to terms outlined in the contract (accurate and timely payment requests, timely responses to requests from the District, | 0 = Needs significant improvement 3 = Minimal areas for improvement 5 = No improvement needed | The sponsor of the sidewalk project has demonstrated through past projects that significant improvement is needed for compliance with contracts. Scoring 0 points | Internal |
| Matching Funds | 10 | Collaboration | Project | Does your project match funds from other sources beyond Resort Tax? | 0 = Less than 1:1 or no matching funds 4 = 1:1 7 = 1:2 10 = 1:3 or greater | The sidewalk project is requesting \$100 from Resort Tax, but has received \$200 from the County. Therefore it has a 1:2 match ratio. Scoring 7 points | Project Application |
| Quality of Proposal | 10 | Efficiency | Project | Project timeline, budget, research, and summary are well articulated and necessary. | 0 = Minimal project detail provided 5 = Moderate project detail provided 10 = Very detailed proposal | The sidewalk project proposal articulated a well thought out project timeline, but was missing a budget. Scoring 5 points | Project Application |
| Partnerships | 5 | Collaboration | Project | Does your project demonstrate cross organizational collaboration? | 0 = 0 Partners 5 = 1+ Partners | The sidewalk project will happen as a result of Organization X (Sponsoring Organization) and Organization Y collaborating to complete construction of sidewalks. Scoring 5 points | Project Application |
| Core Operations Ratio | 5 | Efficiency | Project | How financially reliant is the project on Resort Tax to cover core operations (payroll, benefits, rent, and mortgage)? | 0 = 67-100% of daily operations funded from RT 5 = 34-66% of daily operations funded from RT 10 = 0-33% of daily operations funded from RT | The sidewalk project anticipates Resort Tax fully funding all wages, rent, and utilities affiliated with this project. Scoring 0 points | Project Application |
| Demonstrated Need | 10 | Efficiency | Project | Projects supports communities within Big Sky that have demonstrated need. | 0 = No 5 = Yes | The sidewalk project included multilingual signs. Scoring 5 points | Project Application |
| Forecasting Accuracy | 5 | Planning | Project | Has the project been forecasted and communicated to Resort Tax in advance of application? | 0 = No 5 = Yes | The sidewalk project sponsor contacted the District last year to communicate an intended request of \$100 this year. Scoring 5 points | Project Application |

| Criteria | Points Available | Focus | Project/ Sponsor | Description | Scoring | Example | Score Obtained From FY22 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------|
| Articulates Long-Term Vision | 10 | Planning | Project | Project is consistent with an articulated critical path to achieve long-term goals and the project deliverable(s) will benefit the community beyond a 1-year life span. | 0 = 1 year project with no vision to achieve long-term goals 5 = Project deliverable lasts multiples years, but does not achieve long-term goals 10 = Project deliverable lasts multiples years and articulates critical path for long-term goals | The sidewalk will have a life span of 20 years and is phase 3 of 5 Organization X's capital improvement plan. Scoring 10 points | Project Application |
| Financial Sustainability of Project | 10 | Planning | Project | Project has sustainable long-term source of funds beyond resort tax. | 0 = No 10 = Yes | The sidewalk project intends to utilize Rural Improvement District dollars to support the long term maintenance of the sidewalk. Scoring 10 points | Project Application |
| Consistent with Community Strategies | 10 | Planning | Project | How well does the project align with the strategies outlined in the "Our Big Sky" community plan? | 0 = Not consistent with strategies 5 = Moderately consistent with strategies 10 = Very consistent with strategies | The sidewalk project expands pathways & trails, improves pedestrian and cyclist safety, protects & conserves waterways with curbs & storm drains, increases transportation options to serve recreation, sidewalk connects to trailheads & therefore preserves and enhances public access to public lands & waterways. Scoring 10 points | Project Application |
| TOTAL: | 100 | | | | | Scoring 77 points total | |

Scoring Focus

| | |
|---------------|----|
| Collaboration | 30 |
| Efficiency | 35 |
| Planning | 35 |